- 1. Do the Council now accept that the Gifford Lea Phase 2 development consists entirely of self-contained units?
- 2. Do the Council accept that the correct number of Gifford Lea Phase 2 Units is 56 C2/C3 self-contained and not 71 C2 Communal?
- 3. If the answer to 1 is yes, do the Council accept that Tattenhall now has no outstanding housing land requirement?
- 4. In the light of the above, is the Council still maintaining that it is necessary to include Policy R2 in the Local Plan Part 2?
- 5. Have the Council responded to Planning Inspector, Greenlands Appeal APP/A0665/W/18/3202053, application 13/01329/OUT Land Rear Of 15-38 Greenlands Tattenhall Chester Cheshire, concerning the outstanding housing land requirement for Tattenhall and if so what was the response?
- 6. Will the Council continue to use Policy R2 to override Strategic Policies in Part 1 of the Local Development Plan and Policies of the Tattenhall and District Neighbourhood Development plan?
- 7. When the Council decides that Tattenhall's outstanding housing land requirement has been met, what will be the process to cancel Policy R2 and prevent its use to override strategic policies?
- 8. Why was there no inclusion of an affordable element when the application (17/02888/S73) for the current build was considered and how will the Council address the failure of the Gifford Lea development to meet their requirement for affordable housing?
- 9. For the assessment of Local Plan Part 2 land allocation, why were the 30 proposed units for the Chester Road development included in the housing numbers before planning approval was given when the 56 units at Gifford Lea were not, even when they were under construction?
- 10. Why did the Council not provide up-to-date housing numbers for Tattenhall to the Examiner for Local Plan Part 2 even when asked specifically for them by the Examiner?
- 11. Despite the Parish Council very clearly stating that inclusion of the remaining land at the rear of Smithfields / Castlefields was neither suitable or needed, why did the Council insist on including it in Local Plan Part 2?