

**TATTENHALL & DISTRICT PARISH COUNCIL**



**Clerk: Mrs A Wright, 62 Well Street, Malpas, Cheshire, SY14 8QH.**

**Tel: 01948 861 035 Email: [tattenhallpc@outlook.com](mailto:tattenhallpc@outlook.com)**

Mrs. Fiona Hore  
Senior Manager Planning & Strategic Transport  
Cheshire West & Chester Council  
2<sup>nd</sup> Floor  
Nicholas House  
1 Blackfriars  
Chester  
CH1 2NU

Dear Mrs Hore,

**RE: Housing Numbers and Planning Policy**

Tattenhall and District Parish Council was very disappointed that you did not feel it appropriate to meet with Councillors to discuss outstanding issues regarding housing numbers in Tattenhall.

As such the Council has drawn up the attached questions which it would like addressed.

The Council is due to meet again on the 3<sup>rd</sup> December and I would be grateful if you could confirm whether you will be able to provide a response before that date.

Thank you for your continued help in this matter which is of great concern to the residents of Tattenhall.

Yours sincerely,

*Ann Wright*

Ann Wright  
Clerk to Tattenhall Parish Council

16<sup>th</sup> November 2018

Cc: Councillor Brian Jones, Cabinet Member for Economic Development & Infrastructure  
Councillor Mike Jones, Tattenhall Ward Councillor  
Antoinette Sandbach, MP for the Eddisbury Ward

## **TATTENHALL & DISTRICT PARISH COUNCIL**



**Clerk: Mrs A Wright, 62 Well Street, Malpas, Cheshire, SY14 8QH.**

**Tel: 01948 861 035 Email: [tattenhallpc@outlook.com](mailto:tattenhallpc@outlook.com)**

1. Do the Council now accept that the Gifford Lea Phase 2 development consists entirely of self-contained units?
2. Do the Council accept that the correct number of Gifford Lea Phase 2 Units is 56 C2/C3 self-contained and not 71 C2 Communal?
3. If the answer to 1 is yes, do the Council accept that Tattenhall now has no outstanding housing land requirement?
4. In the light of the above, is the Council still maintaining that it is necessary to include Policy R2 in the Local Plan Part 2?
5. Have the Council responded to Planning Inspector, Greenlands Appeal APP/A0665/W/18/3202053, application 13/01329/OUT Land Rear Of 15-38 Greenlands Tattenhall Chester Cheshire, concerning the outstanding housing land requirement for Tattenhall and if so what was the response?
6. Will the Council continue to use Policy R2 to override Strategic Policies in Part 1 of the Local Development Plan and Policies of the Tattenhall and District Neighbourhood Development plan?
7. When the Council decides that Tattenhall's outstanding housing land requirement has been met, what will be the process to cancel Policy R2 and prevent its use to override strategic policies?
8. Why was there no inclusion of an affordable element when the application (17/02888/S73) for the current build was considered and how will the Council address the failure of the Gifford Lea development to meet their requirement for affordable housing?
9. For the assessment of Local Plan Part 2 land allocation, why were the 30 proposed units for the Chester Road development included in the housing numbers before planning approval was given when the 56 units at Gifford Lea were not, even when they were under construction?
10. Why did the Council not provide up-to-date housing numbers for Tattenhall to the Examiner for Local Plan Part 2 even when asked specifically for them by the Examiner?
11. Despite the Parish Council very clearly stating that inclusion of the remaining land at the rear of Smithfields / Castlefields was neither suitable nor needed, why did the Council insist on including it in Local Plan Part 2?